

Manuscript title: Analytical Technique for Predicting Passenger Car Gearbox Structure Noise Using Vibration Response Analysis

British Journal of Applied Science & Technology

Thank you for your opinion about the last revised paper's manuscript number: 2013/BJAST/3277. However, a modified (revised) form based on the mainor comments you stated in the last letter, where all the comments are considered as follows:

1. The font size and type must be consistent in the whole paper. [The size and type of font are adjusted to be consistent all over the paper](#)

2. Re-typing some equations may make the paper look better. For instance, equation (A.1) in appendix should be re-typed. [This has been done, see pages No. 3, 4, 5 and 17](#)

3. On page 3, the following sentence is vague and should be revised.

“Their contributions were limited due to considering the source of meshing stiffness only and ignoring the other sources **not only but also (?)** ignoring the radiation efficiency, **whereas experimental concepts has given little considerations**(what is the meaning of this sentence?).” [This has been modified and illustrated, see Page 3, fourth paragraph](#)

4. On page 12, the authors wrote: “**The** gearbox structure has to be **spitted** into four major panels.” What does “**spitted**” mean? Do they mean “split”? In another words, the gearbox surface is partitioned as four major panels. Please fix this problem. [This has been corrected, see Page 12, section 5.2](#)

Once again think you very much. We look forward to hear from you about the final decision.